

# A Gentle Introduction to Session Types

Chuta Sano

McGill University

July 9, 2024

## Roadmap: an analogy with the $\lambda$ -calculus

- functions

- processes

## Roadmap: an analogy with the $\lambda$ -calculus

- functions
- $\lambda$ -calculus
- processes
- $\pi$ -calculus

# Roadmap: an analogy with the $\lambda$ -calculus

- functions
- $\lambda$ -calculus
  - type system to prevent nontermination
  - type preservation
  - progress
- processes
- $\pi$ -calculus
  - type system to prevent **deadlocks**
  - session fidelity
  - deadlock freedom

# Roadmap: an analogy with the $\lambda$ -calculus

- functions
  - $\lambda$ -calculus
    - type system to prevent nontermination
    - type preservation
    - progress
  - extensions to the type system
- processes
  - $\pi$ -calculus
    - type system to prevent **deadlocks**
    - session fidelity
    - deadlock freedom
  - extensions to the type system

# Roadmap: an analogy with the $\lambda$ -calculus

- functions
- $\lambda$ -calculus
  - type system to prevent nontermination
  - type preservation
  - progress
- extensions to the type system
  - recursion, subtyping, substructural
  - dependent types and polymorphism
- processes
- $\pi$ -calculus
  - type system to prevent **deadlocks**
  - session fidelity
  - deadlock freedom
- extensions to the type system
  - (equi-)recursion, subtyping
  - polymorphism, sharing, etc.

# The $\pi$ -calculus<sup>1</sup>

A process  $P$  is given by the following grammar:

$P \mid Q$	(Concurrently execute $P$ and $Q$ )
$\nu x.P$	(Allocate fresh channel $x$ )
$x \leftarrow \text{recv}(c); P$	(Receive a channel from $c$ and bind to $x$ )
$\text{send}(c) a; P$	(Send the channel $a$ across $c$ )
$0$	(A nullary process that does nothing)

---

<sup>1</sup>Milner 1980.

# The $\pi$ -calculus<sup>1</sup>

A process  $P$  is given by the following grammar:

$P \mid Q$	(Concurrently execute $P$ and $Q$ )
$\nu x.P$	(Allocate fresh channel $x$ )
$x \leftarrow \text{recv}(c); P$	(Receive a channel from $c$ and bind to $x$ )
$\text{send}(c) a; P$	(Send the channel $a$ across $c$ )
$0$	(A nullary process that does nothing)

Fun fact: The untyped  $\pi$ -calculus can embed the untyped  $\lambda$ -calculus!

---

<sup>1</sup>Milner 1980.



# Problems with the $\pi$ -calculus

## Problems with the $\pi$ -calculus

Deadlock (kinda):

$$\nu x.(0 \mid y \leftarrow \text{recv}(x); 0)$$

## Problems with the $\pi$ -calculus

Deadlock (kinda):

$$\nu x.(0 \mid y \leftarrow \text{recv}(x); 0)$$


Nondeterminism:

$$\nu x, y_1, y_2. (\text{send}(x) y_1; \text{send}(x) y_2; 0 \mid z_1 \leftarrow \text{recv}(x); 0 \mid z_2 \leftarrow \text{recv}(x); 0)$$

## Type systems to the rescue!<sup>2</sup>

Key ideas:

---

<sup>2</sup>Honda 1993; Honda, Vasconcelos, and Kubo 1998. 

## Type systems to the rescue!<sup>2</sup>

Key ideas:

- Every free channel must be assigned a session type – something that “encodes” the protocol

---

<sup>2</sup>Honda 1993; Honda, Vasconcelos, and Kubo 1998.

## Type systems to the rescue!<sup>2</sup>

Key ideas:

- Every free channel must be assigned a session type – something that “encodes” the protocol
- Channels cannot be duplicated

---

<sup>2</sup>Honda 1993; Honda, Vasconcelos, and Kubo 1998.

## Type systems to the rescue!<sup>2</sup>

Key ideas:

- Every free channel must be assigned a session type – something that “encodes” the protocol
- Channels cannot be duplicated
- Channels cannot be freely thrown away

---

<sup>2</sup>Honda 1993; Honda, Vasconcelos, and Kubo 1998.

## Type systems to the rescue!<sup>2</sup>

Key ideas:

- Every free channel must be assigned a session type – something that “encodes” the protocol
- Channels cannot be duplicated
- Channels cannot be freely thrown away

Note: I am not showing the original syntax...

---

<sup>2</sup>Honda 1993; Honda, Vasconcelos, and Kubo 1998.



## Type systems to the rescue!<sup>2</sup>

Key ideas:

- Every free channel must be assigned a session type – something that “encodes” the protocol
- Channels cannot be duplicated
- Channels cannot be freely thrown away

Note: I am not showing the original syntax...  
Let's just move on...

---

<sup>2</sup>Honda 1993; Honda, Vasconcelos, and Kubo 1998.

# Typing the $\pi$ -calculus

## Typing the $\pi$ -calculus

“ $P$  is a process that communicates alongside channels  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ ”

$$P \vdash \underbrace{a_1 : A_1, \dots, a_n : A_n}_{\Delta}$$

## Typing the $\pi$ -calculus

“ $P$  is a process that communicates alongside channels  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ ”

$$P \vdash \underbrace{a_1 : A_1, \dots, a_n : A_n}_{\Delta}$$

Parallel composition and channel abstraction:

## Typing the $\pi$ -calculus

“ $P$  is a process that communicates alongside channels  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ ”

$$P \vdash \underbrace{a_1 : A_1, \dots, a_n : A_n}_{\Delta}$$

Parallel composition and channel abstraction:

$$\frac{P \vdash \Delta_1 \quad Q \vdash \Delta_2}{P \mid Q \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \quad \frac{P \vdash \Delta, x : A}{\nu x : A. P \vdash \Delta}$$

## Typing the $\pi$ -calculus

“ $P$  is a process that communicates alongside channels  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ ”

$$P \vdash \underbrace{a_1 : A_1, \dots, a_n : A_n}_{\Delta}$$

Parallel composition and channel abstraction:

$$\frac{P \vdash \Delta_1 \quad Q \vdash \Delta_2}{P \mid Q \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \quad \frac{P \vdash \Delta, x : A}{\nu x : A. P \vdash \Delta}$$

Sending and receiving channels:

## Typing the $\pi$ -calculus

“ $P$  is a process that communicates alongside channels  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ ”

$$P \vdash \underbrace{a_1 : A_1, \dots, a_n : A_n}_{\Delta}$$

Parallel composition and channel abstraction:

$$\frac{P \vdash \Delta_1 \quad Q \vdash \Delta_2}{P \mid Q \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \quad \frac{P \vdash \Delta, x : A}{\nu x : A. P \vdash \Delta}$$

Sending and receiving channels:

$$\frac{P \vdash \Delta, c : B, x : A}{x \leftarrow \text{recv}(c); P \vdash \Delta, c : A \wp B} \quad \frac{P \vdash \Delta, c : B}{\text{send}(c) a; P \vdash \Delta, c : A \otimes B, a : A}$$

## Typing the $\pi$ -calculus

“ $P$  is a process that communicates alongside channels  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ ”

$$P \vdash \underbrace{a_1 : A_1, \dots, a_n : A_n}_{\Delta}$$

Parallel composition and channel abstraction:

$$\frac{P \vdash \Delta_1 \quad Q \vdash \Delta_2}{P \mid Q \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \quad \frac{P \vdash \Delta, x : A}{\nu x : A. P \vdash \Delta}$$

Sending and receiving channels:

$$\frac{P \vdash \Delta, c : B, x : A}{x \leftarrow \text{recv}(c); P \vdash \Delta, c : A \wp B} \quad \frac{P \vdash \Delta, c : B}{\text{send}(c) a; P \vdash \Delta, c : A \otimes B, a : A}$$

Null process:



## Typing the $\pi$ -calculus

“ $P$  is a process that communicates alongside channels  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ ”

$$P \vdash \underbrace{a_1 : A_1, \dots, a_n : A_n}_{\Delta}$$

Parallel composition and channel abstraction:

$$\frac{P \vdash \Delta_1 \quad Q \vdash \Delta_2}{P \mid Q \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \quad \frac{P \vdash \Delta, x : A}{\nu x : A. P \vdash \Delta}$$

Sending and receiving channels:

$$\frac{P \vdash \Delta, c : B, x : A}{x \leftarrow \text{recv}(c); P \vdash \Delta, c : A \wp B} \quad \frac{P \vdash \Delta, c : B}{\text{send}(c) a; P \vdash \Delta, c : A \otimes B, a : A}$$

Null process:

$$\overline{0} \vdash \cdot$$

## Problem with channel abstraction

Recall:

$$\frac{P \vdash \Delta_1 \quad Q \vdash \Delta_2}{P \mid Q \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \quad \frac{P \vdash \Delta, x : A}{\nu x : A. P \vdash \Delta}$$

## Problem with channel abstraction

Recall:

$$\frac{P \vdash \Delta_1 \quad Q \vdash \Delta_2}{P \mid Q \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \quad \frac{P \vdash \Delta, x : A}{\nu x : A. P \vdash \Delta}$$

Problem: All channels must have two endpoints! Consider:

$$\nu x : A \wp B.(y \leftarrow \text{recv}(x); \dots \mid 0)$$

## Problem with channel abstraction

Recall:

$$\frac{P \vdash \Delta_1 \quad Q \vdash \Delta_2}{P \mid Q \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2} \quad \frac{P \vdash \Delta, x : A}{\nu x : A. P \vdash \Delta}$$

Problem: All channels must have two endpoints! Consider:

$$\nu x : A \wp B.(y \leftarrow \text{recv}(x); \dots \mid 0)$$

Any ideas?

## Attempt: combine channel abstraction and composition

$$\frac{P \vdash \Delta_1, x:A \quad Q \vdash \Delta_2, x:A?}{\nu x:A.(P \mid Q) \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2}$$

## Attempt: combine channel abstraction and composition

$$\frac{P \vdash \Delta_1, x:A \quad Q \vdash \Delta_2, x:A?}{\nu x:A.(P \mid Q) \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2}$$

What should the type of  $x$  be in  $Q$ ?

## Attempt: combine channel abstraction and composition

$$\frac{P \vdash \Delta_1, x:A \quad Q \vdash \Delta_2, x:\bar{A}}{\nu x:A.(P \mid Q) \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2}$$

What should the type of  $x$  be in  $Q$ ? Duality!

$$\overline{A \otimes B} = \bar{A} \wp \bar{B}$$

$$\overline{A \wp B} = \bar{A} \otimes \bar{B}$$

# Choices



## Choices

“Send and receive labels”

$$\frac{P \vdash \Delta, x:A_i}{x.l_i; P \vdash \Delta, x:\oplus \{\overline{l} : A\}} \quad \frac{(\forall i) P_i \vdash \Delta, x:A_i}{\text{case}(x)\{\overline{l} \Rightarrow P\} \vdash \Delta, x:\&\{\overline{l} : A\}}$$

## Summary:<sup>3</sup>

Type	Interpretation (provider)	Process Term	Cont.
$1$	Close channel (terminate)	$\text{close}(x)$	-
$\perp$	Wait for channel to close	$\text{wait}(x); P$	-
$A \otimes B$	Send channel of type $A$	$\text{send}(x) y; P$	$B$
$A \wp B$	Receive channel of type $A$	$y \leftarrow \text{recv}(x); P$	$B$
$\oplus\{\overline{I : A}\}$	Send a label $i \in \overline{I}$	$x.i; P$	$A_i$
$\&\{\overline{I : A}\}$	Receive and branch on $i \in \overline{I}$	$\text{case}(x)\{\overline{I} \Rightarrow P\}$	$A_i$

---

<sup>3</sup>Caires and Pfenning 2010; Wadler 2012.

# Equi-recursion and examples

# Equi-recursion and examples

Natural numbers:

$$\text{nat} = \oplus \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{succ} : \text{nat} \\ \text{zero} : 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

# Equi-recursion and examples

Natural numbers:

$$\text{nat} = \oplus \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{succ} : \text{nat} \\ \text{zero} : 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

Queue:

$$\text{queue} = \& \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{enq} : A \multimap \text{queue} \\ \text{deq} : A \otimes \text{queue} \end{array} \right\}$$

# Drawing time

## Drawing time

Audience: shout out any reasonable natural number.

## Anyway...

- (Message-passing) process calculi model concurrent computation via processes that communicate across channels
- Session types apply to channels and are “protocols” that processes must follow when interacting with channels
- Channels are linear; they cannot be duplicated nor thrown away
- See also: sharing<sup>4</sup>, multiparty session types<sup>5</sup>, GV<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup>Balzer and Pfenning 2017.

<sup>5</sup>Carbone, Honda, and Yoshida 2008.

<sup>6</sup>Gay and Vasconcelos 2010.